

Logical Replication – Handling of Large Transactions

Hayato Kuroda kuroda.hayato@fujitsu.com Hou Zhijie

©	2023	Fujitsu	Limited
---	------	---------	---------

Self Introduction



- Hayato Kuroda
 - •Me.
 - •Living in Japan.
 - •Working at Fujitsu since 2018.
- Hou Zhijie
 - •Co-speaker.
 - •Could not be here...



Agenda



- Logical decoding & logical replication.
- Decoding for large transactions in earlier versions.
 - Prior to PG12.
 - Improvements in PG13.
 - Improvements in PG14.
- Parallel apply Next enhancement in PG16.



Logical decoding & replication

Workflow Changes



Logical decoding



- An infrastructure that transforms all persistent changes into another format.
 - The specifics of this format are determined by the output plugin.
 - The output can be interpreted without needing detailed knowledge of the database's internal state.
- Implemented based on the Write-Ahead Log (WAL).
- Output plugin modules provide rich callback functions to allow user customization based on their requirements.

Logical decoding | Workflow





Example: consumed by the function



- The consumer process reads and decodes the WAL records.
- The decoded results are stored in memory on a pertransaction basis (txn).
- Stored data can be consumed either by calling functions via SQL, or by using the streaming replication protocol.
- If the decoded results are consumed by the streaming replication protocol, they are sent to downstream and cleaned up when the transaction is committed.

Logical replication



- A method of replicating data objects and their changes, based upon their replication identity.
- Uses a publish and subscribe model.
 - The upstream node is called publisher.
 - The downstream is subscriber.
- Allows fine-grained control over both data replication and security.
- Typical use-cases:
 - Sending incremental changes in a single database to subscribers as they occur.
 - Replicating between different major versions of PostgreSQL.
 - Replicating between PostgreSQL instances on different platforms.

• ...

Logical replication | Usage



• The publication must be defined on an upstream node.

postgres=#	postgres=# <mark>CREATE PUBLICATION</mark>							
CREATE PUBI	LICATION							
postgres=#	SELECT	* FROM pg_p	oublication;					
oid pı	ubname	pubowner	puballtables	pubinsert	pubupdate	pubdelete	pubtruncate	pubviaroot
				L	L	L		
16206		10 1			+			e
16396 pi	ub j	TO I	τI	Γ	T		τ	I
(1 row)								
(1 10W)								

Then a down stream node subscribes the publication.

<pre>postgres=# CREATE SUBSCRIPTION sub CONNECTION 'user=postgres dbname=postgres port=543</pre>	L' PUBLICATION pub;					
NOTICE: created replication slot "sub" on publisher						
CREATE SUBSCRIPTION						
<pre>postgres=# SELECT oid, subdbid, subname, subconninfo FROM pg_subscription;</pre>						
oid subdbid subname subconninfo						
+++						
16402 5 sub user=postgres dbname=postgres port=5431						
(1 row)						

Logical replication | Workflow





Logical replication | Monitoring



 The progress of logical replication can be checked by reading the pg_stat_replication (on publisher) and pg_stat_subscription (on subscriber) views.

publisher=# SELECT pid, <mark>ap</mark>	plication_nar	<mark>ne</mark> , state, s	ent_lsn, repla	ay_lsn, <mark>replay_lag</mark>	
FROM pg_stat_r	FROM pg_stat_replication;				
pid application_name	state	sent_lsn	replay_lsn	replay_lag	
+	+	+	+	+	
26201 <mark>sub</mark>	streaming	0/37B2070	0/37B2070	00:00:00.017882	
(1 row)					

subscriber=# SEL	ECT subid, <mark>su</mark>	<mark>bname</mark> , pid,	received_lsn,	last_msg_receipt_time
FROM p	g_stat_subscr	iption;		
subid subname	pid re	ceived_lsn	last_msg	_receipt_time
+	-++		+	
16388 <mark>sub</mark>	26198 0/	9EFED40	2023-05-15 0	8:45:55.720919+00
(1 row)				



Decoding for large transactions

... in earlier versions



Decoding for large transaction (in PG12)



- The decoded results are stored in memory on a per-transaction basis (txn).
- If the number of changes exceeds the limit (Fixed amount: 4096), decoded results are spilled to temporary file.

Replication for large transaction (in PG12)



TITSU

Cons in PG12 and Improvements in PG13



- PG12 cannot precisely control memory size used by walsender.
 - Controlling memory usage is a challenge.
 - If the publisher node has enough memory, it seems to be inefficient.
- In PG13, new GUC *logical_decoding_work_mem* has been introduced
 - Specifies the maximum amount of memory to be used by logical decoding.
 - Default is 64MB, and the minimum is 64KB.

logical_decoding_work_mem = 128MB

Improvements in PG13





- The decoded results are stored in memory on a per-transaction basis (txn).
- If the number of changes exceeded the limit (Fixed amount: 4096), decoded results are spilled to disk.
- When the memory limit (GUC "logical_decoding_work_mem") is exceeded, decoded results are spilled to temporary file.

Cons in PG13 and Improvements in PG14

- FUĴITSU
- Transactions are only sent to the subscriber after they've been committed.
 - Consequently, large transactions may trigger the network congestion,
 - ...leads apply lag.
- In PG14, new subscription parameter *streaming* was introduced
 - Specifies whether in-progress transactions can be streamed for this subscription.
 - Default value is false.

Improvements in PG14





- The decoded results are stored in memory on a per-transaction basis (txn).
- If the number of changes exceeded the limit (Fixed amount: 4096), decoded results are spilled to disk.
- When the memory limit (GUC "logical_decoding_work_mem") is exceeded, decoded results are spilled to temporary file.
- When the memory limit (GUC "logical_decoding_work_mem") is exceeded, spilled changes to disk or send to subscriber.

Improvements in PG14





Improvements in PG14 | Monitoring



• The system view *pg_stat_replication_slots* has been added.

postgres=#	SELECT slot_n	ame, <mark>spill_txns</mark>	s, spill_count	,	
	<mark>spill_by</mark>	<mark>tes</mark> , total_txns	s, total_bytes		
	FROM pg_stat_	replication_slo	ots;		
slot_name	spill_txns	spill_count	spill_bytes	total_txns	total_bytes
	-+	+	+	+	+
sub	87	551	<mark>66398400</mark>	96	67046400
(1 row)					

postgres=#	postgres=# SELECT slot_name, <mark>stream_txns</mark> , <mark>stream_count</mark> ,					
	stream_bytes, total_txns, total_bytes					
	FROM pg_stat_1	replication_slot	ts;			
slot_name	stream_txns	stream_count	stream_bytes	total_txns	total_bytes	
	+	+	+	+	+	
sub	<mark>96</mark>	<mark>275</mark>	<mark>116812800</mark>	132	126403200	
(1 row)						

Cons in PG14 & PG15



- The performance of replicating large transaction still has room for improvement.
 - Disk IO
 - Changes must be stored on the disk initially before they can be applied.
 - Apply lag
 - Changes can only be applied at the end of the transaction, resulting in a possible slowdown of the transaction.



Parallel Apply

Next enhancement developed in PG16



Overview and Advantage



- An alternative approach for handling large transactions.
- Could be available in the upcoming release
- If the parallel mode is enabled, the subscriber applies streamed in-progress transactions IMMEDIATELY.
- The subscriber can handle in-progress transactions IN PARALLEL.
- Parallel Apply enables faster and more efficient handling of large transactions.
 - Does not wait for COMMIT message from the publisher.
 - Does not serialize replication messages into files.
- This can be widely used if users allow streaming of intermediate transactions.
 - Batch operation on logical replication system.





• Users must set the subscription parameter *streaming* to *parallel*.

postgres=# CREATE SUBSCRIPTION sub CONNECTION 'dbname=postgres'
PUBLICATION
NOTICE: created replication slot "sub" on publisher
CREATE SUBSCRIPTION
postgres=# SELECT subname,
subname substream
+
sub p
(1 row)

• The parallelism can be tuned by parameter *max_parallel_apply_workers_per_subscription*.

max_parallel_apply_workers_per_subscription = 5

Architecture





Parallel apply worker





- The parallel apply worker is started when inprogress transactions are streamed.
- Multiple parallel apply workers can run per subscription; the parallelism is based on max_parallel_apply_workers_per_subscription.
- Each parallel apply worker is assigned up to one transaction, and the assignment will never be changed during the apply handing.
- The leader apply worker communicates with parallel apply workers through dynamic shared memory and shared message queues.

Basic workflow





- When the leader apply worker receives the initial segment of an in-progress transaction, it launches a new parallel apply worker.
- The parallel apply worker applies received messages immediately.
- If streaming stops, the assigned parallel apply worker waits until it receives the next set of replication messages.
- When the leader apply worker receives the next chunk, it resumes sending replication messages to the same parallel apply worker.

Commit protocol





- When the leader apply worker receives a PREPARE / COMMIT message, it sends the message to the assigned parallel apply worker and waits for it to finish applying the transaction.
- The parallel apply worker performs the actual PREPARE / COMMIT action and marks the transaction status as FINISHED.
- The leader apply worker removes the relationship between the streamed transaction and the parallel apply worker.
- The COMMIT PREPARED operation is handled by the leader apply worker.

Monitoring



 The presence of parallel apply workers can be checked by reading the pg_stat_activity and pg_stat_subscription views.

postgres=# SELE	CT datname, pid,	leader_pid, state, k	backend_xid, backend_type
FROM	pg_stat_activit	У	
WHEF	E backend_type L	IKE 'logical replica	cation parallel worker';
datname pid	leader_pid	<pre>state backend_xid</pre>	d backend_type
+	++-	+	+
postgres 216	9 2165	idle	logical replication parallel worker
(1 row)			
(1 row)			

postgres=#	SELECT	subid,	subname, pid,	<pre>leader_pid, received_lsn FROM pg_stat_subscription;</pre>
subid su	ubname	pid	leader_pid	received_lsn
16390 sı 16390 sı (2 rows)	ub ub	2169 2165	+ 2165 	0/1550108

Failure Handling



- The parallel apply worker exits if it meets an ERROR.
- Before exiting, it puts the error message in the shared message queue and sends a signal to the leader apply worker.
- When the leader apply worker becomes aware of the issue, it pops the message, reports it to the server log, and exits.
- ... After this processes follow same procedure as the non-parallel case.
- If users want to skip the transaction, they can check the LSN of the transaction from the log and execute *ALTER SUBSCRIPTION SKIP* command.

Failure Handling



- Sometimes the finish LSN of the remote transaction cannot be reported on the log.
- The reason is that the streamed in-progress transaction initially lacks a final_lsn, which is assigned at the end of the transaction.

[12999] ERROR: duplicate key value violates unique constraint "tbl_pkey"
[12999] DETAIL: Key (id)=(1) already exists.
[12999] CONTEXT: processing remote data for replication origin "pg_16390" during message type "INSERT" for replication target relation "public.tbl" in transaction 732
[12974] ERROR: logical replication parallel apply worker exited due to error
[12974] CONTEXT: processing remote data for replication origin "pg_16390" during message type "INSERT" for replication target relation "public.tbl" in transaction 732
[12974] CONTEXT: processing remote data for replication origin "pg_16390" during message type "INSERT" for replication target relation "public.tbl" in transaction 732
[12974] CONTEXT: processing remote data for replication origin "pg_16390" during message type "INSERT" for replication target relation "public.tbl" in transaction 732

 In this situation, users must disable parallel mode temporarily and trigger the same conflict again.



Challenges of Implementing Parallel Apply

- Due to the concurrency, there were some additional risks of deadlocks.
- The deadlock might happen if tables that were independent on the publisher side become dependent on the subscriber side.
- Three considerations were found during development, and they were already solved.
 - Consideration #1: Deadlock between the leader apply worker and the parallel apply worker.
 - Consideration #2: Deadlock between the leader apply worker and parallel apply workers.
 - Consideration #3: Deadlock when the shared message queue is full.



- Assume that two transactions are executing concurrently on subscriber.
- One transaction has been handling by PA, and another one is by LA.
- LA is waiting for PA due to the primary key constraint of the subscribed table, while PA is waiting for LA to send the next stream of changes or a transaction finish command message.
- The PostgreSQL lock manager cannot detect the deadlock because the processes do not form a cycle in the wait-for-graph.





- A new session-level lock (stream lock) is introduced.
- The Lock is acquired using the subscription ID and the related transaction ID.
- The LA acquires the lock before sending STREAM STOP, and releases it after sending STREAM START/ABORT/PREPARE/COMMIT.
- The PA acquires the lock after processing STREAM STOP, and releases it immediately
- The wait-for-graph becomes cyclic.



Performance improvements: Elapsed time



- Performance testing is done with following steps:
- 1. Construct a synchronous logical replication system.
- 2. Insert tuples via *"psql -c ..."*.
- 3. Measure the execution time of the command.
- Both publisher and subscriber were located on the same server.

```
shared_buffers = 100GB
Checkpoint_timeout = 30min
max_wal_size = 20GB
min_wal_size = 10GB
autovacuum = off
CREATE TABLE large_test (
    id INTEGER PRIMARY KEY,
    num1 BIGINT,
    num2 DOUBLE PRESICION,
    num3 DOUBLE PRESICION
```

Executed SQL:

```
¥timing
INSERT INTO large_test (id, num1, num2, num3)
        SELECT i, round(random()*10), random(), random()*142
        FROM generate_series(1, 5000000) s(i);
```

Result | # of tuples vs. time



FUITSU

Result | decoding buffer size vs. time



ΓSU

Future development



- We aim to extend parallel apply to normal cases.
- The basic idea is to launch parallel apply workers whenever the subscriber side receives new transactions.
- Some mechanism can be re-used.
- Latency improvements may not be as significant as in the current case.

Summary



- Logical replication is a powerful feature that continues to evolve.
- One issue with logical replication has been handling large transactions.
- Initially, the publisher node occupied considerable memory.
- Since PostgreSQL 13, this has become controllable.
- Since PostgreSQL 14, the publisher could stream in-progress transactions.
- Since PostgreSQL 16, such transactions can be applied more quickly.
- Our next goal is to extend parallel apply to normal cases.
- If you have any questions and suggestions, please contact me:
- kuroda.hayato@fujitsu.com



Thank you



© 2023 Fujitsu Limited

- Consider that the TX-1 and TX-2 are executed by two parallel apply workers (PA-1, PA-2)
- PA-2 is waiting for PA-1 to complete its transaction while PA-1 is waiting for subsequent input from LA.
- Also, LA is waiting for PA-2 to complete its transaction in order to preserve the commit order.





- To resolve this, another session-level lock (transaction lock) is introduced
- The Lock is identified by the subid and the transaction id
- The LA acquire the transaction lock at the end of transactions, and release immediately
- PAs acquire the transaction lock before applying the first message of the transaction, and release at the end of it



Issue#3: Deadlock when the shared message queue is full

- Consider that the TX-1 and TX-2 are executed by two parallel apply workers (PA-1, PA-2)
- PA-2 is waiting for PA-1 to complete its transaction while PA-1 is waiting for subsequent input from LA.
- If the shared message queue between PA-2 and LA becomes full, LA waits until the queue has enough space, but PA-2 cannot consume messages



FUJITSU

- To resolve this, the wait for enqueuing has a timeout
- If the timeout exceeds, the LA serialize all the pending messages to a file and start to wait committing
- When PA-2 detects the file, apply spooled changes
- In this example, we can regard the case same as issues#2

