

SCRAM authentication

Heikki Linnakangas / Pivotal

pg_hba.conf

```
# TYPE DATABASE USER ADDRESS METHOD
# "local" is for Unix domain socket connections only
local all all trust

# Use plaintext authentication from localhost
host all all 127.0.0.1 plain

# Allow md5 authentication from example.com, with SSL
hostssl all all .example.com md5

# Require SCRAM for everyone else
host all all 0.0.0.0/0 scram-sha-256
```

PostgreSQL authentication methods

- Password-based:
 - password (plaintext)
 - ~~crypt~~
 - md5
 - **scram-sha-256**
 - RADIUS / LDAP / PAM
- Others:
 - SSL certificate
 - kerberos

(Plain) Password authentication

Server: *Hey, what's your password?*

Client: *"Swordfish"*

Server: *ok, cool*

Plain password authentication

- Obviously weak
 - Password sniffing
- Ok over SSL
 - With `sslmode=verify-full`
- Used by RADIUS, LDAP, PAM, BSD authentication methods!

MD5 authentication

Server: Here are 4 random bytes (salt). Please compute:

md5(md5(password || username), salt)

Client: 23dff85f7c38ee928f0c21ae710bba5d

Server: Ok, cool

MD5 weaknesses

md5(md5(password || username), salt)

- Password guessing
 - My laptop can compute about 7 million MD5 hashes per second
- Replay
 - Only 4 billion unique 4-byte salts (birthday attack)
- Stolen hashes
 - You don't need the original password to log in. The hash stored in `pg_auth.rolpasword` is enough.

Other MD5 issues

- Renaming a user invalidates the password
 - Because the hash includes the username
- `db_user_namespace` cannot be used
 - For same reason
- MD5 has a bad reputation

SCRAM to the rescue!

- **S**alted **C**hallenge **R**esponse **A**uthentication **M**echanism
- To be precise, PostgreSQL implements SCRAM-SHA-256
- Defined by RFC 5802 and RFC 7677
- Challenge-response like MD5 authentication

SCRAM

Client: Hi! Here's a random nonce:

r=fyko+d2lbbFgONRv9qkxdawL

Server: Hi! Here's my random nonce, salt and iteration count:

r=fyko+d2lbbFgONRv9qkxdawL3rfcNHYYJY1ZVvWVs7j,

s=QSXCR+Q6sek8bf92,

i=4096

Client: Here's my proof that I know the password:

<ClientProof>

Server: Ok, cool. And here's my proof that I knew it too:

<ServerProof>

SCRAM

- More resistant to dictionary attacks
 - The computation to guess password is much more resource intensive
 - Configurable iteration count
- Longer nonces defeat replay attacks
- The verifiers stored in `pg_authid.rolpasword` don't allow impersonating the user

SCRAM-SHA-256

- Relatively simple implementation
 - < 1000 lines of code in libpq
- Relies only on SHA-256 hash function

Password verifiers

```
set password_encryption='plain';  
create user plain_user password 'foo';
```

```
set password_encryption='md5';  
create user md5_user password 'foo';
```

```
set password_encryption='scram-sha-256';  
create user scram_user password 'foo';
```

Password verifiers

SCRAM-SHA-256\$<salt>:<iteration count>\$<hashes>

```
postgres=# select rolname, rolpassword from pg_authid
```

rolname	rolpassword
plain_user	foo
md5_user	md591334fcda28129398a9cdb3f551e3cc8
scram_user	SCRAM-SHA- 256\$4096:uZngi0eCu0IF6wbG\$zMiBqWGTny5EEa1I+38fCT8OcuA0xbGA alZfHRh/g6g=:8KiMkegRYfcoEXk9+aLJwR1JhMbM4LyDxQE2arrEvRU=

(3 rows)

Compatibility matrix

	Kind of verifier		
Authentication method in <code>pg_hba.conf</code>			
password	plain	md5 hash	scram verifier
password	✓	✓	✓
md5	✓	✓	✓[1]
scram-sha-256	✓		✓

[1] Will use SCRAM, requires client support

Simple Authentication and Security Layer (SASL)

- *“The Simple Authentication and Security Layer (SASL) is a framework for providing authentication and data security services in connection-oriented protocols via replaceable mechanisms.”*
- Decouples authentication from application protocol (like PostgreSQL’s FE/BE protocol)
- SCRAM is one SASL authentication mechanism

SASL

- Currently, PostgreSQL has a built-in SCRAM-SHA-256 implementation
- Would be straightforward to add more SASL authentication mechanisms
- Could use an external library to add support for more (e.g. Cyrus libsasl)
- Client can use a library that implements SASL and SCRAM-SHA-256
 - Java has a very generic SASL implementation, but no built-in SCRAM-SHA-256 provider

PostgreSQL 10

- SCRAM-SHA-256
- Channel binding not supported
- Username is always passed as empty

Migrating

1. Upgrade all clients
2. Set `password_encryption='scram-sha-256'` in `postgresql.conf`
3. Change all user passwords

SCRAM is not encryption!

- SSL is still recommended
 - SCRAM is only authentication, not encryption!

Future, short-term

- Implement SCRAM-SHA-256 in all the drivers
 - JDBC, ODBC (uses libpq), Python, .Net, Ruby, ...
- Add support to middleware
 - Pgouncer, pgpool-II
- Add option to libpq to require SCRAM
- Implement channel binding

Future, long-term

- Allow storing SCRAM verifier in LDAP
- Delegation for middleware
- Zero-knowledge proof
 - SRP

Questions?