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Why is UNIQUE so unique?

- Only constraint where two tuples can conflict with each other
  - That is, the existence of one tuple precludes others from existing
- Effectively a predicate lock on a very simple predicate
- Special code to enforce unique constraint in the BTree code – doesn't work GiST, etc.
Motivation for More General Constraints

- PERIOD data type can represent a period of time:
  - http://pgfoundry.org/projects/temporal
- Definite beginning and end time, e.g., the period of time during which a professor is teaching in a classroom
- But two professors can't teach in the same classroom at the same time
- So periods of time cannot overlap
Non-Overlapping Constraint

- Very commonly known as a “schedule conflict”
- How do you specify a non-overlapping constraint in PostgreSQL currently?
- Any ideas?
Idea 1: Serialize

- Only one writer
  - Exclusive lock
- Before updating any reservation, search all existing reservations for conflicts
- Horrible, unpredictable performance
Idea 2: Quantize

- Break into time slices, e.g. 1 hour.
  - Slice time is business-dependent
- Use UNIQUE constraint on beginning
- Imposes unnecessary business constraint
  - Nobody can reserve 1:30pm - 2:30pm
- Code is not reusable for other businesses
  - Hotels reserve by day, not hour
- Not useful when quantum is too small
  - Security, scientific observations, audit logs, etc.
Idea 3: Procedural Code

- Triggers
- Perhaps use dummy rows that exist only for row-level locks
- Perhaps application code
- Probably will not perform well
- Very business-specific, not reusable
- Error prone
- Good luck...
Idea 4: Delayed Check

- Record timestamp when reservation was recorded
- Make extra process check for conflicts and notify victims asynchronously
- Unhappy customers
- Adds uncertainty after “commit”
- Cascading problem
Back to the Example

- If the constraint is not enforced by the database...
- ...then it will be enforced when two professors each believe they have reserved the same room
- A duel?
- Probably a less desirable constraint enforcement mechanism than a friendly error from the DBMS
Exclusion Constraints

- New feature in 8.5-devel
- Offers more general constraint enforcement mechanism
Example

CREATE TABLE reservation
(
    room TEXT,
    professor TEXT,
    during PERIOD,
    EXCLUDE USING gist
    (room CHECK WITH =,
     during CHECK WITH &&)
);

Example

CREATE TABLE reservation
(
    room TEXT, 
    professor TEXT, 
    during PERIOD, 
    EXCLUDE USING gist 
    (room CHECK WITH =, 
     during CHECK WITH &&) 
);

Can be arbitrary expression of fields in table.
Example
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Exclusion operator. In this case, “overlaps”.
Example

CREATE TABLE reservation
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    room TEXT,
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Type of index to build and use for enforcement.
Operator Detects Conflicts

- The operator is used to search for conflicts
- Should return TRUE when two values conflict
- Should return TRUE when two values conflict
- So the “overlaps” operator (“&&”) would be used to enforce the constraint that no two tuples contain overlapping values
Back to UNIQUE

• If you specify all operators as “=”, the semantics are identical to UNIQUE
• Performance slightly worse, because one additional index search is required
• But can be used with GiST
UNUNIQUE

- If you specify operator as “<>”, then constraint is the opposite of unique: all values must be the same!
- However, won't work for types that don't have a search strategy for “<>”.
- Use case: At the zoo, if you've already put zebras in the cage, you can put more zebras in -- but don't put lions in.
Multi-Column Constraints

• ... EXCLUDE USING gist
  (a CHECK WITH =,
   b CHECK WITH &&) ...

• Tuple1 conflicts with Tuple2 if and only if:
  – Tuple1.a = Tuple2.a AND
  – Tuple1.b && Tuple2.b

• Otherwise, both tuples can appear in the table.
Extra Capabilities

- Support for predicates (WHERE)
  - Constraint on a subset of the table
- Support for arbitrary expressions
  - ...
  - \( \text{EXCLUDE } ((t::\text{circle}) \text{ CHECK WITH } &&) \) ...
- Can use other tablespaces and index parameters, similar to UNIQUE.
- Deferrable
- Doesn't work with GIN, yet.
Future Work

- Multiple constraints can use the same index
- `UNIQUE(a, b)` and `UNIQUE(a, c)` can both use an index on `(a, b, c)`
- Depending on selectivity of “a”, may perform much better than two separate indexes
Conclusion

- Constraints are always enforced
- Sometimes by the DBMS (cheap), sometimes by real life (expensive)
- The very simple, very common “schedule conflict” constraint is almost impossible to enforce with most DBMSs
- Let's make it easy, scalable, and flexible.
- “Exclusion Constraints” in 8.5